ANALYSIS OF ORIGINAL BILL

Franchise Tax Board		ANALIS	ANAL 1313 OF ORIGINAL BILL			
Author: Fletcher and Perea		Analyst:	Janet Jennings	Bill Number:	AB 1175	
Related Bills:	See Legislative History	Telephone:	845-3495	Introduced Date: F	ebruary 18, 2011	
		Attorney:	Patrick Kusiak	Sponsor:		
SUBJECT: FTB To Revise Tax Forms To Allow 529 Plan Contributions						
SUMMARY						
	require the Franchict deposit of a taxpass account.					
RECOMMENDATION AND SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS						
No position.						
PURPOSE OF THE BILL						
According to the author's office, the purpose of this bill is to encourage taxpayers to save for future educational expenses for themselves or their dependents.						
EFFECTIVE/OPERATIVE DATE						
This bill would be effective on January 1, 2012, and operative for designations made on tax returns filed on and after that date.						
ANALYSIS						
FEDERAL/STATE LAW						
Federal law allows taxpayers three direct deposit options:						
 Split the refund with direct deposits into two or three checking and/or savings accounts, Direct deposit refund into one checking or savings account, or Use the refund to purchase up to \$5,000 in U.S. Series I Savings Bonds. 						
State law allows taxpayers two direct deposit options:						
 Split the refund with direct deposits into two checking and/or savings accounts, including an IRC section 529 college savings account, or Direct deposit refund into one checking or savings account accounts, including an IRC section 529 college savings account. 						

Executive Officer

For Selvi Stanislaus

Geoff Way

Date

04/04/11

Board Position:

____ S

SA

_ N

_NA

_OUA

_0

__X___ NP

NAR

Page 2

Bill Number: AB 1175

THIS BILL

This bill would require the FTB to revise the PIT return to allow a taxpayer, who is an individual, to designate that the direct deposit of his or her refund be made to an IRC section 529 college savings account.

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

Personal income taxpayers currently have the ability to designate a portion or all of their refund into one or two 529 college savings accounts by listing the routing number of the financial institution responsible for administering the IRC section 529 account and the account number(s) on their PIT return. As a result, implementing this bill would not impact the department's programs and operations because revisions to the PIT returns would be unnecessary.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

SB 323 (Oropeza, 2009/2010) would have required the FTB to revise the tax return to include a separate space for a taxpayer to designate a direct deposit to an IRC section 529 college savings plan. This bill was held in the Assembly Appropriations Suspense File.

SB 918 (Oropeza, 2007/2008) would have allowed taxpayers to direct any amount in excess of their tax liability to a Qualified Tuition Program (QTP) account. This bill was held in the Assembly Appropriations Suspense File.

AB 2437 (Baca, 2005/2006) would have allowed taxpayers to designate a minimum amount of \$250 to be deposited to the credit of the taxpayer's QTP. This bill failed to pass out of the Assembly Revenue & Taxation Committee.

FISCAL IMPACT

This bill would not impact the department's costs.

ECONOMIC IMPACT

This bill would not impact the state's income tax revenue.

SUPPORT/OPPOSITION

Support: None provided.

Opposition: None provided.

Bill Number: AB 1175

ARGUMENTS

Pro: This bill could increase awareness of a taxpayer's ability to request a direct deposit of all or a portion of their refund into an IRC section 529 college savings account.

Con: This bill is unnecessary as taxpayers currently have the ability to designate a portion or all of their refund into one or two IRC section 529 college savings.

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Janet Jennings Patrice Gau-Johnson

Legislative Analyst, FTB Asst. Legislative Director, FTB

(916) 845-3495 (916) 845-5521

<u>janet.jennings@ftb.ca.gov</u> <u>patrice.gau-johnson@ftb.ca.gov</u>